UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

MARCUS A. HAINES, | Ca

Plaintiff,

v.

COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO, et al.,

Defendants.

Case No. 2:20-cv-1011-TLN-JDP-P

ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY THIS
CASE SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR
FAILURE TO PROSECUTE, FAILURE TO
STATE A CLAIM, AND FAILURE TO
COMPLY WITH A COURT ORDER

THIRTY-DAY DEADLINE

Plaintiff Marcus Haines is a pretrial detainee proceeding without counsel in this action. Plaintiff's complaint was dismissed for failure to state a claim pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915A(a) on September 17, 2020, and plaintiff was granted thirty days to file an amended complaint. *See* ECF No. 7. Plaintiff has not yet done so.

To manage its docket effectively, the court imposes deadlines and requires litigants to meet those deadlines. When a plaintiff fails to comply with court-imposed deadlines, the court may dismiss the plaintiff's case for failure to prosecute. *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 41; *Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S. Forest Serv.*, 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005) ("[T]he consensus among our sister circuits, with which we agree, is that courts may dismiss under Rule 41(b) sua sponte, at least under certain circumstances."). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but the court has a duty to administer justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. *See Pagtalunan v. Galaza*, 291 F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.

Case 2:20-cv-01011-TLN-JDP Document 9 Filed 11/05/20 Page 2 of 2

Plaintiff will be given an opportunity to explain why the court should not dismiss his case for failure to prosecute and failure to state a claim. Plaintiff's failure to respond to this order will constitute another failure to comply with a court order and will result in dismissal of this case. Accordingly, plaintiff must show cause within thirty days of the date of entry of this order why the court should not dismiss his case for failure to state a claim and failure to prosecute. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, plaintiff should also file a first amended complaint.

So ordered.

Dated: November 4, 2020

EREMY D. PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE